arXiv:math/0206111v2 [math.FA] 19 Jun 2002

Dear prof. Francisco L. Hernandez,

Thank you for your letter. You are completely right and my paper has a fatal error. It contains in the last phrases of proof. What a shame on my grey hair! Moreover, I MUST know that the result is not true because the same "proof" may be applied in a separable case too. I sincerely apologize. Moreover, I knew it in 1972 when was written my first paper (no. [5] in list of references). I explain what it was known. May be it will be useful for you in your next work.

It is obvious that if E be a Banach space with a symmetric basis (e_n) and F is its subspace with symmetric basis (f_n) then (f_n) is equivalent to a block-sequence $\sum_{n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} a_i e_i$ and there may be two possibilities:

1. $c_k = \max\{a_i : n_k + 1 \leq i \leq n_{k+1}\} \to 0$
2. $\inf c_k \geq c > 0$.

Certainly, if E and F are nonseparable (with symmetric uncountable bases) then the possibility 1 cannot be realized.

So, in nonseparable case the embedding $F \hookrightarrow E$ implies the continuous inclusion $F \subset^C E$, (i.e. if $f = \sum \xi_k f_k \in F$; $e = \sum \xi_k e_k \in E$ then $\|f\|_F \geq c \|e\|_E$).

Since for every space $E(\varkappa)$ with a (may be, uncountable) symmetric basis $l_1(\varkappa) \subset^C E(\varkappa) \subset^C c_0(\varkappa)$ ($\varkappa \geq \omega$ is a cardinal), immediately follows the S. Trojanski's result on nonseparable $l_1(\varkappa)$. Indeed, if $E(\varkappa)$ contains a subspace isomorphic to $l_1(\varkappa)$ then $l_1(\varkappa) \subset^C E(\varkappa) \subset^C l_1(\varkappa)$ i.e $l_1(\varkappa)$ is isomorphic to $E(\varkappa)$.

However concerning other p's NOTHING is follows since these spaces has no any special properties in the partially ordered set (by the order $\subset^C$) of all spaces with symmetric bases of given cardinality $\varkappa$.

This simple observation makes clear reasons by which the J. Lindenstrauss result (on embeddings into spaces with symmetric bases) cannot be generalized. Indeed, it is clear that the interpolation construction transforms a basis (y_n) of an embedable space Y into a block-basis that satisfies the possibility 1, mentioned above (what is impossible, as was noted, in a nonseparable case).

I'l try to remove my misfortune paper from arXive.

Thank you once more.

I did not see almost any paper since 1990 till today. This partially explain my fault.

I would be very pleasant for your next remarks, comments, suggestions and questions.

Sincerely your

Eugene Tokarev